



Setting the scientific standard. Again.

The largest meta-analysis of a single implant brand unequivocally shows that the TiUnite implant surface supports peri-implant health, bone maintenance and overall treatment success, long term.

Excellent implant survival rates, short- and long-term



at implant level*



at implant level*

Good bone maintenance



Marginal bone level change from implant insertion at 5-year follow-up

Low prevalence of peri-implantitis



* Results of regression analysis. Details can be found in full publication.

† Of 106 studies, 47 reported biological complications. Of these 47 papers, 19 reported cases of peri-implantitis in 5.2% of patients (64/1229). The authors postulated that, if peri-implantitis did not occur in studies where it was not explicitly reported, its prevalence would be 1.36%.

‡ Misch CE, Perel ML, Wang HL, et al. Implant success, survival, and failure: the International Congress of Oral Implantologists (ICOI) Pisa Consensus Conference. *Implant Dent* 2008;17(1):5-15.

The largest meta-analysis of a single implant brand

32,519

publications screened

No cherry picking

Includes all patients with a TiUnite implant prospectively evaluated in a clinical study with a minimum of 20 patients and 12 months post-loading.



106
prospective studies



4,694
patients



12,803
TiUnite implants

- ✓ TiUnite promotes a healthy bone response during the first year which remains stable over the long term.
- ✓ Strong evidence of high implant survival with TiUnite surface.
- ✓ Low rates of reported peri-implantitis in patients with TiUnite surface implants.

Karl M, Albrektsson T. Clinical performance of dental implants with a moderately rough (TiUnite) surface: a meta-analysis of prospective clinical studies. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2017;32(4):717-734.

Visit [nobelbiocare.com/tiunite](https://www.nobelbiocare.com/tiunite)

